Tutorial on Strong colorings and their applications Part II

6th European Set Theory Conference Budapest, 5-July-2017

> Assaf Rinot Bar-Ilan University

At the end of yesterday's lecture, Peter Nyikos asked me to clarify as to what do I mean by a "strong coloring".

At the end of yesterday's lecture, Peter Nyikos asked me to clarify as to what do I mean by a "strong coloring". Let me give you an object that demonstrates all conceivable features at once.

At the end of yesterday's lecture, Peter Nyikos asked me to clarify as to what do I mean by a "strong coloring". Let me give you an object that demonstrates all conceivable features at once.

Fix an arbitrary almost disjoint family $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\} \subseteq [\omega]^{\omega}$.

At the end of yesterday's lecture, Peter Nyikos asked me to clarify as to what do I mean by a "strong coloring". Let me give you an object that demonstrates all conceivable features at once.

Fix an arbitrary almost disjoint family $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\} \subseteq [\omega]^{\omega}$. For each $\beta < \omega_1$, fix an injection $t_{\beta} : \beta \to x_{\beta}$.

At the end of yesterday's lecture, Peter Nyikos asked me to clarify as to what do I mean by a "strong coloring". Let me give you an object that demonstrates all conceivable features at once.

Fix an arbitrary almost disjoint family $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\} \subseteq [\omega]^{\omega}$. For each $\beta < \omega_1$, fix an injection $t_{\beta} : \beta \to x_{\beta}$. Derive a coloring $c : [\omega_1]^2 \to \omega$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$: $c(\alpha, \beta) := t_{\beta}(\alpha)$.

At the end of yesterday's lecture, Peter Nyikos asked me to clarify as to what do I mean by a "strong coloring". Let me give you an object that demonstrates all conceivable features at once.

Fix an arbitrary almost disjoint family $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\} \subseteq [\omega]^{\omega}$. For each $\beta < \omega_1$, fix an injection $t_{\beta} : \beta \to x_{\beta}$. Derive a coloring $c : [\omega_1]^2 \to \omega$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$: $c(\alpha, \beta) := t_{\beta}(\alpha)$.

Proposition

For every $n < \omega$, and every uncountable subfamily $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ of pairwise disjoint sets, there exist $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that:

- max(*a*) < min(*b*);
- $c[a \times b]$ has size $|a \times b|$;
- $c[a \times b]$ is disjoint from n.

Now, force to add a Cohen real $r: \omega \to \omega$.

Every unctble family in V[r] contains an unctble subfamily in V, so that every condition $p: n \to \omega$ forces that $d := r \circ c$ is the wildest coloring.

Fix an arbitrary almost disjoint family $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\} \subseteq [\omega]^{\omega}$. For each $\beta < \omega_1$, fix an injection $t_{\beta} : \beta \to x_{\beta}$. Derive a coloring $c : [\omega_1]^2 \to \omega$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$: $c(\alpha, \beta) := t_{\beta}(\alpha)$.

Proposition

For every $n < \omega$, and every uncountable subfamily $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ of pairwise disjoint sets, there exist $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that:

- max(*a*) < min(*b*);
- $c[a \times b]$ has size $|a \times b|$;
- $c[a \times b]$ is disjoint from n.

Now, force to add a Cohen real $r: \omega \to \omega$.

Every unctble family in V[r] contains an unctble subfamily in V, so that every condition $p: n \to \omega$ forces that $d := r \circ c$ is the wildest coloring.

For each $\beta < \omega_1$, define $f_\beta : \omega_1 \rightarrow 2$ by stipulating:

$$f_{eta}(lpha) := egin{cases} 1, & ext{if } lpha < eta ext{ and } d(lpha, eta) = 1; \ 1, & ext{if } lpha = eta; \ 0, & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Now, force to add a Cohen real $r: \omega \to \omega$.

Every unctble family in V[r] contains an unctble subfamily in V, so that every condition $p: n \to \omega$ forces that $d := r \circ c$ is the wildest coloring.

For each $\beta < \omega_1$, define $f_\beta : \omega_1 \rightarrow 2$ by stipulating:

$$f_{eta}(lpha) := egin{cases} 1, & ext{if } lpha < eta ext{ and } d(lpha, eta) = 1; \ 1, & ext{if } lpha = eta; \ 0, & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is an L space

 $\{f_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is a regular, Hausdorff subspace of 2^{ω_1} which is hereditarily Lindelöf but not hereditarily separable.

Now, force to add a Cohen real $r: \omega \to \omega$.

Every unctble family in V[r] contains an unctble subfamily in V, so that every condition $p: n \to \omega$ forces that $d := r \circ c$ is the wildest coloring.

For each $\beta < \omega_1$, define $f_\beta : \omega_1 \rightarrow 2$ by stipulating:

$$f_{eta}(lpha) := egin{cases} 1, & ext{if } lpha < eta ext{ and } d(lpha, eta) = 1; \ 1, & ext{if } lpha = eta; \ 0, & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Adding a Cohen real adds a strong L space (Roitman, 1979)

 $\{f_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is a regular, Hausdorff subspace of 2^{ω_1} which is hereditarily Lindelöf in all finite powers but not separable.

By Kunen (1977), MA_{\aleph_1} entails that there are no strong L spaces.

Now, force to add a Cohen real $r: \omega \to \omega$. Every unctble family in V[r] contains an unctble subfamily in V, so that every condition $p: n \to \omega$ forces that $d := r \circ c$ is the wildest coloring.

Let $f_{\beta}^{*}(\alpha) := f_{\alpha}(\beta)$. That is, define $f_{\beta}^{*}: \omega_{1} \to 2$ by stipulating:

$$f^*_{eta}(lpha) := egin{cases} 1, & ext{if } lpha > eta ext{ and } d(eta, lpha) = 1; \ 1, & ext{if } lpha = eta; \ 0, & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, force to add a Cohen real $r: \omega \to \omega$. Every unctble family in V[r] contains an unctble subfamily in V, so that every condition $p: n \to \omega$ forces that $d := r \circ c$ is the wildest coloring.

Let $f_{\beta}^{*}(\alpha) := f_{\alpha}(\beta)$. That is, define $f_{\beta}^{*} : \omega_{1} \to 2$ by stipulating:

$$f_{eta}^*(lpha) := egin{cases} 1, & ext{if } lpha > eta ext{ and } d(eta, lpha) = 1; \ 1, & ext{if } lpha = eta; \ 0, & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

This is a strong *S* space

 $\{f_{\beta}^* \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is a regular, Hausdorff subspace of 2^{ω_1} which is hereditarily separable in all finite powers but not Lindelöf.

By Zenor (1980), there is a strong S space iff there is a strong L space.

Stretching exercises

Definition

A subset $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is said to be **thick** if for every positive integer *m*, there exists a positive integer *k* such that $\{k + 1, \dots, k + m\} \subseteq T$.

Definition

A subset $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is said to be thick if for every positive integer *m*, there exists a positive integer *k* such that $\{k + 1, \dots, k + m\} \subseteq T$.

Proposition 1

Suppose $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c "[B]^2$ is thick. Then $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\aleph_0}$ holds.

Definition

A subset $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is said to be thick if for every positive integer *m*, there exists a positive integer *k* such that $\{k + 1, \dots, k + m\} \subseteq T$.

Proposition 1

Suppose $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c : [B]^2$ is thick. Then $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\aleph_0}$ holds.

Proof.

Let $\{p_i \mid i < \omega\}$ be the increasing enumeration of all prime numbers. Define $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by stipulating that $\psi(n)$ be the least $i < \omega$ such that p_i does not divide n.

Definition

A subset $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is said to be thick if for every positive integer *m*, there exists a positive integer *k* such that $\{k + 1, \dots, k + m\} \subseteq T$.

Proposition 1

Suppose $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c''[B]^2$ is thick. Then $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\aleph_0}$ holds.

Proof.

Let $\{p_i \mid i < \omega\}$ be the increasing enumeration of all prime numbers. Define $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by stipulating that $\psi(n)$ be the least $i < \omega$ such that p_i does not divide n. By the Chinese remainder theorem, for each $i < \omega$, any interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of length greater than $p_1 \cdots p_i$ satisfies $i \in \psi$ "I.

Definition

A subset $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is said to be thick if for every positive integer *m*, there exists a positive integer *k* such that $\{k + 1, \dots, k + m\} \subseteq T$.

Proposition 1

Suppose $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c''[B]^2$ is thick. Then $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\aleph_0}$ holds.

Proof.

Let $\{p_i \mid i < \omega\}$ be the increasing enumeration of all prime numbers. Define $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by stipulating that $\psi(n)$ be the least $i < \omega$ such that p_i does not divide n. By the Chinese remainder theorem, for each $i < \omega$, any interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of length greater than $p_1 \cdots p_i$ satisfies $i \in \psi$ "I. So $\psi \circ c$ witnesses $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\aleph_0}$.

Proposition 2

Suppose $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c''[B]^2$ is dense. Then $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\aleph_0}$ holds.

Proposition 1

Suppose $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c''[B]^2$ is thick. Then $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\aleph_0}$ holds.

Proof.

Let $\{p_i \mid i < \omega\}$ be the increasing enumeration of all prime numbers. Define $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by stipulating that $\psi(n)$ be the least $i < \omega$ such that p_i does not divide n. By the Chinese remainder theorem, for each $i < \omega$, any interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of length greater than $p_1 \cdots p_i$ satisfies $i \in \psi$ "I. So $\psi \circ c$ witnesses $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\aleph_0}$.

Proposition 3

Suppose κ is a regular uncountable and $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \kappa$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c''[B]^2$ covers a club. Then $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\kappa}$ holds.

Proposition 3

Suppose κ is a regular uncountable and $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \kappa$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c''[B]^2$ covers a club. Then $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\kappa}$ holds.

Proof.

Fix a partition of κ into pairwise disjoint stationary sets, $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \kappa \rangle$.

Proposition 3

Suppose κ is a regular uncountable and $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \kappa$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c''[B]^2$ covers a club. Then $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\kappa}$ holds.

Proof.

Fix a partition of κ into pairwise disjoint stationary sets, $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \kappa \rangle$. Define $\psi : \kappa \to \kappa$ by stipulating that $\psi(\delta) = \gamma$ iff $\delta \in S_{\gamma}$.

Proposition 3

Suppose κ is a regular uncountable and $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \kappa$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c''[B]^2$ covers a club. Then $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\kappa}$ holds.

Proof.

Fix a partition of κ into pairwise disjoint stationary sets, $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \kappa \rangle$. Define $\psi : \kappa \to \kappa$ by stipulating that $\psi(\delta) = \gamma$ iff $\delta \in S_{\gamma}$. For every club $D \subseteq \kappa$, we have $D \cap S_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$ for all $\gamma < \kappa$, so that ψ " $D = \kappa$.

Proposition 3

Suppose κ is a regular uncountable and $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \kappa$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c''[B]^2$ covers a club. Then $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\kappa}$ holds.

Proof.

Fix a partition of κ into pairwise disjoint stationary sets, $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \kappa \rangle$. Define $\psi : \kappa \to \kappa$ by stipulating that $\psi(\delta) = \gamma$ iff $\delta \in S_{\gamma}$. For every club $D \subseteq \kappa$, we have $D \cap S_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$ for all $\gamma < \kappa$, so that ψ " $D = \kappa$. Consequently, $d := \psi \circ c$ witnesses $\kappa \not = [\kappa]^2_{\kappa}$.

Proposition 3*

Suppose κ is regular uncountable, $S \subseteq \kappa$ is stationary, and $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \kappa$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c''[B]^2$ covers a club relative to S. Then $\kappa \not \to [\kappa]^2_{\kappa}$ holds.

Proposition 3

Suppose κ is a regular uncountable and $c : [\kappa]^2 \to \kappa$ satisfies that for every cofinal $B \subseteq \kappa$, $c''[B]^2$ covers a club. Then $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\kappa}$ holds.

Proof.

Fix a partition of κ into pairwise disjoint stationary sets, $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \kappa \rangle$. Define $\psi : \kappa \to \kappa$ by stipulating that $\psi(\delta) = \gamma$ iff $\delta \in S_{\gamma}$. For every club $D \subseteq \kappa$, we have $D \cap S_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$ for all $\gamma < \kappa$, so that ψ " $D = \kappa$. Consequently, $d := \psi \circ c$ witnesses $\kappa \not = [\kappa]^2_{\kappa}$.

Proposition 4

The following are equivalent:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \lambda^+ \nrightarrow [\lambda^+]_{\lambda}^2; \\ \bullet & \lambda^+ \nrightarrow [\lambda^+]_{\lambda^+}^2. \end{array}$$

Proposition 4

The following are equivalent:

$$\lambda^{+} \not\rightarrow [\lambda^{+}]_{\lambda}^{2};$$

$$\lambda^{+} \not\rightarrow [\lambda^{+}]_{\lambda^{+}}^{2}.$$

Proof.

Fix *c* witnessing $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda}$.

Proposition 4

The following are equivalent:

1
$$\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda};$$

2 $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}.$

Proof.

Fix c witnessing $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda}$. For each $\beta < \lambda^+$, fix a surjection $\psi_{\beta} : \lambda \rightarrow \beta$.

Proposition 4

The following are equivalent:

1
$$\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda};$$

2 $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}.$

Proof.

Fix c witnessing $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda}$. For each $\beta < \lambda^+$, fix a surjection $\psi_{\beta} : \lambda \rightarrow \beta$. Derive $d : [\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow \lambda^+$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$: $d(\alpha, \beta) := \psi_{\beta}(c(\alpha, \beta)).$

Proposition 4

The following are equivalent:

1
$$\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda};$$

2 $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}.$

Proof.

Fix c witnessing $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda}$. For each $\beta < \lambda^+$, fix a surjection $\psi_{\beta} : \lambda \rightarrow \beta$. Derive $d : [\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow \lambda^+$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$: $d(\alpha, \beta) := \psi_{\beta}(c(\alpha, \beta))$. To see that d witnesses $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$, fix a cofinal $B \subseteq \lambda^+$ and some color $\gamma < \lambda^+$. Wlog, min $(B) > \gamma$.

Proposition 4

The following are equivalent:

1
$$\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda};$$

2 $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}.$

Proof.

Fix c witnessing $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda}$. For each $\beta < \lambda^+$, fix a surjection $\psi_{\beta} : \lambda \rightarrow \beta$. Derive $d : [\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow \lambda^+$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$: $d(\alpha, \beta) := \psi_{\beta}(c(\alpha, \beta))$. To see that d witnesses $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$, fix a cofinal $B \subseteq \lambda^+$ and some color $\gamma < \lambda^+$. Wlog, min $(B) > \gamma$. By the Pigeonhole Principle, fix $i < \lambda$ and $B' \in [B]^{\lambda^+}$ such that $\psi_{\beta}(i) = \gamma$ for each $\beta \in B'$.

Proposition 4

The following are equivalent:

1
$$\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda};$$

2 $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}.$

Proof.

Fix c witnessing $\lambda^+ \nleftrightarrow [\lambda^+]_{\lambda}^2$. For each $\beta < \lambda^+$, fix a surjection $\psi_{\beta} : \lambda \to \beta$. Derive $d : [\lambda^+]^2 \to \lambda^+$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$: $d(\alpha, \beta) := \psi_{\beta}(c(\alpha, \beta))$. To see that d witnesses $\lambda^+ \nleftrightarrow [\lambda^+]_{\lambda^+}^2$, fix a cofinal $B \subseteq \lambda^+$ and some color $\gamma < \lambda^+$. Wlog, min $(B) > \gamma$. By the Pigeonhole Principle, fix $i < \lambda$ and $B' \in [B]^{\lambda^+}$ such that $\psi_{\beta}(i) = \gamma$ for each $\beta \in B'$. Let $\alpha < \beta$ both from B' be such that $c(\alpha, \beta) = i$.

Proposition 4

The following are equivalent:

1
$$\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda};$$

2 $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}.$

Proof.

Fix c witnessing $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]_{\lambda}^2$. For each $\beta < \lambda^+$, fix a surjection $\psi_{\beta} : \lambda \rightarrow \beta$. Derive $d : [\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow \lambda^+$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$: $d(\alpha, \beta) := \psi_{\beta}(c(\alpha, \beta))$. To see that d witnesses $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]_{\lambda^+}^2$, fix a cofinal $B \subseteq \lambda^+$ and some color $\gamma < \lambda^+$. Wlog, min $(B) > \gamma$. By the Pigeonhole Principle, fix $i < \lambda$ and $B' \in [B]^{\lambda^+}$ such that $\psi_{\beta}(i) = \gamma$ for each $\beta \in B'$. Let $\alpha < \beta$ both from B' be such that $c(\alpha, \beta) = i$. Then $d(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma$.

Stretching functions could get more and more exotic.

In Moore (2006), an *L* space is derived from a coloring; this coloring is the outcome of an unorthodox stretching — motivated by Kronecker's theorem on diophantine approximations — of some map osc : $[\aleph_1]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$.

Stretching functions could get more and more exotic.

In Moore (2006), an *L* space is derived from a coloring; this coloring is the outcome of an unorthodox stretching — motivated by Kronecker's theorem on diophantine approximations — of some map osc : $[\aleph_1]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$.

In Peng-Wu (2015), an L group is derived from a stronger coloring. They, as well, start with Moore's map osc : $[\aleph_1]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$. Then, they do the following:
Stretching functions could get more and more exotic.

In Moore (2006), an *L* space is derived from a coloring; this coloring is the outcome of an unorthodox stretching — motivated by Kronecker's theorem on diophantine approximations — of some map osc : $[\aleph_1]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$.

In Peng-Wu (2015), an L group is derived from a stronger coloring. They, as well, start with Moore's map osc : $[\aleph_1]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$. Then, they do the following:

• For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let f(x) denote the fractional part of x, that is, $f(x) := x - \lfloor x \rfloor$.

Stretching functions could get more and more exotic.

In Moore (2006), an *L* space is derived from a coloring; this coloring is the outcome of an unorthodox stretching — motivated by Kronecker's theorem on diophantine approximations — of some map osc : $[\aleph_1]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$.

In Peng-Wu (2015), an L group is derived from a stronger coloring. They, as well, start with Moore's map osc : $[\aleph_1]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$. Then, they do the following:

For all x ∈ ℝ, let f(x) denote the fractional part of x, that is,
f(x) := x - ⌊x⌋.

• For all
$$x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$$
, let $g(x) := \frac{\sin(\frac{1}{x})}{x}$.

Stretching functions could get more and more exotic.

In Moore (2006), an *L* space is derived from a coloring; this coloring is the outcome of an unorthodox stretching — motivated by Kronecker's theorem on diophantine approximations — of some map osc : $[\aleph_1]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$.

In Peng-Wu (2015), an L group is derived from a stronger coloring. They, as well, start with Moore's map osc : $[\aleph_1]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$. Then, they do the following:

- For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let f(x) denote the fractional part of x, that is, $f(x) := x - \lfloor x \rfloor$.
- For all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, let $g(x) := \frac{\sin(\frac{1}{x})}{x}$.
- Solution Find a suitable sequence of real numbers $\langle r_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \aleph_1 \rangle$.

Stretching functions could get more and more exotic.

In Moore (2006), an *L* space is derived from a coloring; this coloring is the outcome of an unorthodox stretching — motivated by Kronecker's theorem on diophantine approximations — of some map osc : $[\aleph_1]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$.

In Peng-Wu (2015), an L group is derived from a stronger coloring. They, as well, start with Moore's map osc : $[\aleph_1]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$. Then, they do the following:

• For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let f(x) denote the fractional part of x, that is, $f(x) := x - \lfloor x \rfloor$.

② For all
$$x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$$
, let $g(x) := rac{\sin(rac{1}{x})}{x}$

Solution Find a suitable sequence of real numbers $\langle r_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \aleph_1 \rangle$.

Derive $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_0$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$:

$$c(\alpha,\beta) := \lfloor g(f(r_{\alpha} \cdot \operatorname{osc}(\alpha,\beta) + r_{\beta}) \rfloor.$$

Pumping up

The oldest open problem of this line of study reads as follows.



Pumping up

The oldest open problem of this line of study reads as follows.

Problem 1 Does $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ hold for every singular cardinal λ ? Theorem (Shelah/Todorcevic, 1980's) Suppose λ is a singular cardinal, and $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\kappa}$ for a tail of regular $\kappa < \lambda$. Then $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$.

Pumping up

The oldest open problem of this line of study reads as follows.

Problem 1 Does $\lambda^+ \nleftrightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ hold for every singular cardinal λ ? Theorem (Shelah/Todorcevic, 1980's) Suppose λ is a singular cardinal, and $\kappa \nrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\kappa}$ for a tail of regular $\kappa < \lambda$. Then $\lambda^+ \nleftrightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$.

Theorem (Eisworth, 2013)

Suppose λ is a singular cardinal, and $\lambda^+ \nleftrightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\theta}$ for all $\theta < \lambda$. Then $\lambda^+ \nleftrightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$. It is not always possible to get more colors



It is not always possible to get more colors



Conversely, by Rinot (2014), if the cardinal $\mu := cf(2^{\aleph_0})$ is not weakly compact in L, then $2^{\aleph_0} \not\rightarrow [2^{\aleph_0}]_{\aleph_1}^2$ holds.

It is not always possible to get more colors



The following is open:

Problem 2

Suppose κ is a regular uncountable cardinal. Are the following equivalent?

$$\blacktriangleright \kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]_2^2;$$

$$\blacktriangleright \kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\aleph_0}$$

Question

Is there any reason to prefer a coloring that natively produces a pallet of colors over another coloring that produces the same, but through some clever stretching?

Question

Is there any reason to prefer a coloring that natively produces a pallet of colors over another coloring that produces the same, but through some clever stretching?

Question

Is there any difference between a coloring derived from a Souslin tree or a \mathfrak{b} -scale and a coloring derived from a special Aronszajn tree?

Question

Is there any reason to prefer a coloring that natively produces a pallet of colors over another coloring that produces the same, but through some clever stretching?

Question

Is there any difference between a coloring derived from a Souslin tree or a b-scale and a coloring derived from a special Aronszajn tree?

A possible answer

Suppose that c is some strong coloring in our universe V, and that V' is some cofinality-preserving forcing extension.

Question

Is there any reason to prefer a coloring that natively produces a pallet of colors over another coloring that produces the same, but through some clever stretching?

Question

Is there any difference between a coloring derived from a Souslin tree or a b-scale and a coloring derived from a special Aronszajn tree?

A possible answer

Suppose that c is some strong coloring in our universe V, and that V' is some cofinality-preserving forcing extension. If c is derived from a Souslin tree or a b-scale, then it is quite possible that in V', these objects have lost their defining feature.

Question

Is there any reason to prefer a coloring that natively produces a pallet of colors over another coloring that produces the same, but through some clever stretching?

Question

Is there any difference between a coloring derived from a Souslin tree or a b-scale and a coloring derived from a special Aronszajn tree?

A possible answer

Suppose that c is some strong coloring in our universe V, and that V' is some cofinality-preserving forcing extension. If c is derived from a Souslin tree or a b-scale, then it is quite possible that in V', these objects have lost their defining feature. In contrast, the special Aronszajn tree will prevail, and so do the features of the colorings derived from it.

Question

Is there any reason to prefer a coloring that natively produces a pallet of colors over another coloring that produces the same, but through some clever stretching?

Question

Is there any difference between a coloring derived from a Souslin tree or a b-scale and a coloring derived from a special Aronszajn tree?

A possible answer

Suppose that c is some strong coloring in our universe V, and that V' is some cofinality-preserving forcing extension. If c is derived from a Souslin tree or a b-scale, then it is quite possible that in V', these objects have lost their defining feature. In contrast, the special Aronszajn tree will prevail, and so do the features of the colorings derived from it. The same issue arise with regards to the stretching component.

Definition (Woodin)

A regular uncountable cardinal κ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD if there exists $\theta < \kappa$ such that:

- $(2^{\theta})^{\text{HOD}} < \kappa;$
- Only There is no partition (S_γ | γ < θ) of κ ∩ cof(ω) into stationary sets such that (S_γ | γ < θ) ∈ HOD.</p>

Definition (Woodin)

A regular uncountable cardinal κ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD if there exists $\theta < \kappa$ such that:

- $(2^{\theta})^{\text{HOD}} < \kappa;$
- Observe that (S_γ | γ < θ) of κ ∩ cof(ω) into stationary sets such that (S_γ | γ < θ) ∈ HOD.</p>

HOD Dichotomy theorem (Woodin, 2010)

Suppose that δ is an extendible cardinal. Then one of the following hold.

- **(**) No regular cardinal $\kappa \geq \delta$ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD.
- 2 Every regular cardinal $\kappa \geq \delta$ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD.

Definition (Woodin)

A regular uncountable cardinal κ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD if there exists $\theta < \kappa$ such that:

- $(2^{\theta})^{\text{HOD}} < \kappa;$
- Observe that (S_γ | γ < θ) of κ ∩ cof(ω) into stationary sets such that (S_γ | γ < θ) ∈ HOD.</p>

HOD Dichotomy theorem (Woodin, 2010)

Suppose that δ is an extendible cardinal. Then one of the following hold.

- **(**) No regular cardinal $\kappa \geq \delta$ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD.
- 2 Every regular cardinal $\kappa \geq \delta$ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD.

Alternative 2 should be understood as an abstract generalization of "0 $^{\sharp}$ exists" .

Definition (Woodin)

A regular uncountable cardinal κ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD if there exists $\theta < \kappa$ such that:

- $(2^{\theta})^{\text{HOD}} < \kappa;$
- Observe that (S_γ | γ < θ) of κ ∩ cof(ω) into stationary sets such that (S_γ | γ < θ) ∈ HOD.</p>

HOD Dichotomy theorem (Woodin, 2010)

Suppose that δ is an extendible cardinal. Then one of the following hold.

- **()** No regular cardinal $\kappa \geq \delta$ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD.
- 2 Every regular cardinal $\kappa \geq \delta$ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD.

Inner partitioning into stationary sets also plays a role in the Friedman-Magidor paper (2009) on the number of normal measures.

Observation

Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC, and c is some coloring in W.

Observation

Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC, and c is some coloring in W. In V, suppose that c witnesses $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta}$ for some regular uncountable cardinal κ , and some $\theta < \kappa$.

Observation

Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC, and c is some coloring in W. In V, suppose that c witnesses $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta}$ for some regular uncountable cardinal κ , and some $\theta < \kappa$. Then there is a partition $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle$ of κ into stationary sets, such that $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle \in W$.

Observation

Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC, and c is some coloring in W. In V, suppose that c witnesses $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta}$ for some regular uncountable cardinal κ , and some $\theta < \kappa$. Then there is a partition $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle$ of κ into stationary sets, such that $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle \in W$.

Proof.

For each
$$\alpha < \kappa$$
, let $S_{\gamma}^{\alpha} := \{\beta \mid \alpha < \beta < \kappa, c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma\}$ for all $\gamma < \theta$.

Observation

Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC, and c is some coloring in W. In V, suppose that c witnesses $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta}$ for some regular uncountable cardinal κ , and some $\theta < \kappa$. Then there is a partition $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle$ of κ into stationary sets, such that $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle \in W$.

Proof.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let $S_{\gamma}^{\alpha} := \{\beta \mid \alpha < \beta < \kappa, c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma\}$ for all $\gamma < \theta$. Work in V. If there exists some $\alpha < \kappa$ such that S_{γ}^{α} is stationary for all $\gamma < \theta$, then we are done.

Observation

Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC, and c is some coloring in W. In V, suppose that c witnesses $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta}$ for some regular uncountable cardinal κ , and some $\theta < \kappa$. Then there is a partition $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle$ of κ into stationary sets, such that $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle \in W$.

Proof.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let $S_{\gamma}^{\alpha} := \{\beta \mid \alpha < \beta < \kappa, c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma\}$ for all $\gamma < \theta$. Work in V. If there exists some $\alpha < \kappa$ such that S_{γ}^{α} is stationary for all $\gamma < \theta$, then we are done.

Towards a contradiction, suppose this is not the case.

Observation

Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC, and c is some coloring in W. In V, suppose that c witnesses $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta}$ for some regular uncountable cardinal κ , and some $\theta < \kappa$. Then there is a partition $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle$ of κ into stationary sets, such that $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle \in W$.

Proof.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let $S_{\gamma}^{\alpha} := \{\beta \mid \alpha < \beta < \kappa, c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma\}$ for all $\gamma < \theta$. Work in V. If there exists some $\alpha < \kappa$ such that S_{γ}^{α} is stationary for all $\gamma < \theta$, then we are done.

Towards a contradiction, suppose this is not the case.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, pick $\gamma_{\alpha} < \theta$ and a club $D_{\alpha} \subseteq \kappa$ which is disjoint from $S^{\alpha}_{\gamma_{\alpha}}$.

Observation

Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC, and c is some coloring in W. In V, suppose that c witnesses $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta}$ for some regular uncountable cardinal κ , and some $\theta < \kappa$. Then there is a partition $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle$ of κ into stationary sets, such that $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle \in W$.

Proof.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let $S_{\gamma}^{\alpha} := \{\beta \mid \alpha < \beta < \kappa, c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma\}$ for all $\gamma < \theta$. Work in V. If there exists some $\alpha < \kappa$ such that S_{γ}^{α} is stationary for all $\gamma < \theta$, then we are done. Towards a contradiction, suppose this is not the case. For each $\alpha < \kappa$, pick $\gamma_{\alpha} < \theta$ and a club $D_{\alpha} \subseteq \kappa$ which is disjoint from $S_{\gamma_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$. Fix a stationary $S \subseteq \kappa$ on which $\alpha \mapsto \gamma_{\alpha}$ is constant,

Observation

Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC, and c is some coloring in W. In V, suppose that c witnesses $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta}$ for some regular uncountable cardinal κ , and some $\theta < \kappa$. Then there is a partition $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle$ of κ into stationary sets, such that $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle \in W$.

Proof.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let $S_{\gamma}^{\alpha} := \{\beta \mid \alpha < \beta < \kappa, c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma\}$ for all $\gamma < \theta$. Work in V. If there exists some $\alpha < \kappa$ such that S_{γ}^{α} is stationary for all $\gamma < \theta$, then we are done. Towards a contradiction, suppose this is not the case. For each $\alpha < \kappa$, pick $\gamma_{\alpha} < \theta$ and a club $D_{\alpha} \subseteq \kappa$ which is disjoint from $S_{\gamma_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$. Fix a stationary $S \subseteq \kappa$ on which $\alpha \mapsto \gamma_{\alpha}$ is constant, with value, say, γ^* .

Observation

Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC, and c is some coloring in W. In V, suppose that c witnesses $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta}$ for some regular uncountable cardinal κ , and some $\theta < \kappa$. Then there is a partition $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle$ of κ into stationary sets, such that $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle \in W$.

Proof.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let $S^{\alpha}_{\gamma} := \{\beta \mid \alpha < \beta < \kappa, c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma\}$ for all $\gamma < \theta$. Work in V. If there exists some $\alpha < \kappa$ such that S^{α}_{γ} is stationary for all $\gamma < \theta$, then we are done. Towards a contradiction, suppose this is not the case. For each $\alpha < \kappa$, pick $\gamma_{\alpha} < \theta$ and a club $D_{\alpha} \subseteq \kappa$ which is disjoint from $S^{\alpha}_{\gamma\alpha}$. Fix a stationary $S \subseteq \kappa$ on which $\alpha \mapsto \gamma_{\alpha}$ is constant, with value, say, γ^* . Put $D := \bigcap_{\alpha < \theta} D_{\alpha}$. Pick $\alpha < \beta$ both from $S \cap D$ such that $c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma^*$.

Observation

Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC, and c is some coloring in W. In V, suppose that c witnesses $\kappa \nleftrightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta}$ for some regular uncountable cardinal κ , and some $\theta < \kappa$. Then there is a partition $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle$ of κ into stationary sets, such that $\langle S_{\gamma} | \gamma < \theta \rangle \in W$.

Proof.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let $S_{\gamma}^{\alpha} := \{\beta \mid \alpha < \beta < \kappa, c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma\}$ for all $\gamma < \theta$. Work in V. If there exists some $\alpha < \kappa$ such that S_{γ}^{α} is stationary for all $\gamma < \theta$, then we are done. Towards a contradiction, suppose this is not the case. For each $\alpha < \kappa$, pick $\gamma_{\alpha} < \theta$ and a club $D_{\alpha} \subseteq \kappa$ which is disjoint from $S_{\gamma_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$. Fix a stationary $S \subseteq \kappa$ on which $\alpha \mapsto \gamma_{\alpha}$ is constant, with value, say, γ^* . Put $D := \bigcap_{\alpha < \theta} D_{\alpha}$. Pick $\alpha < \beta$ both from $S \cap D$ such that $c(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma^*$.

Then $\beta \in D \cap S_{\gamma^*}^{\alpha} \subseteq D_{\alpha} \cap S_{\gamma_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$, contradicting the choice of D_{α} .

In Dobrinen (2008), it is proved that if $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC with the same ordinals, then the existence of an ω -sequence in $V \setminus W$ together with the existence of mildly-strong colorings in W imply that for a tail of regular cardinals κ , for all cardinals $\lambda > \kappa$, $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\lambda) \setminus W$ is stationary.

In Dobrinen (2008), it is proved that if $W \subseteq V$ is an inner model of ZFC with the same ordinals, then the existence of an ω -sequence in $V \setminus W$ together with the existence of mildly-strong colorings in W imply that for a tail of regular cardinals κ , for all cardinals $\lambda > \kappa$, $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\lambda) \setminus W$ is stationary.

The above is an application of a strong coloring (indeed, to analyzing outer models) that do not depend on whether the coloring remains strong in the outer model.

Playing with a Luzin set



Luzin set

An uncountable set of reals whose intersection with any nowhere dense set is countable.

Note. CH \implies there exists a Luzin set $\implies \mathfrak{b} = \aleph_1$.

Playing with a Luzin set

Suppose that $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is the injective enumeration of a Luzin subset of ${}^{\omega}\omega$. Derive $\langle f_n : \omega_1 \to \omega \mid n < \omega \rangle$ by stipulating:

$$f_n(\beta) := x_\beta(n).$$

Luzin set

An uncountable set of reals whose intersection with any nowhere dense set is countable.

Playing with a Luzin set

Suppose that $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is the injective enumeration of a Luzin subset of ${}^{\omega}\omega$. Derive $\langle f_n : \omega_1 \to \omega \mid n < \omega \rangle$ by stipulating:

 $f_n(\beta) := x_\beta(n).$

Claim 1

For every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, for a tail of $n < \omega$, $f_n \upharpoonright B$ is onto.
Suppose that $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is the injective enumeration of a Luzin subset of ${}^{\omega}\omega$. Derive $\langle f_n : \omega_1 \to \omega \mid n < \omega \rangle$ by stipulating:

 $f_n(\beta) := x_\beta(n).$

Claim 1

For every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, for a tail of $n < \omega$, $f_n \upharpoonright B$ is onto.

Proof.

Since *B* is uncountable, $X_B := \{x_\beta \mid \beta \in B\}$ is somewhere dense. Pick $t \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ such that X_B is dense in the basic open set $[t] := \{f \in {}^{\omega}\omega \mid t \subseteq f\}$. Then for every $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ extending *t* and every $m < \omega$, there exists $\beta \in B$ such that $x_\beta \in [s^{\frown}\langle m \rangle]$.

Suppose that $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is the injective enumeration of a Luzin subset of ${}^{\omega}\omega$. Derive $\langle f_n : \omega_1 \to \omega \mid n < \omega \rangle$ by stipulating:

 $f_n(\beta) := x_\beta(n).$

Claim 1

For every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, for a tail of $n < \omega$, $f_n \upharpoonright B$ is onto.

Proof.

Since *B* is uncountable, $X_B := \{x_\beta \mid \beta \in B\}$ is somewhere dense. Pick $t \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ such that X_B is dense in the basic open set $[t] := \{f \in {}^{\omega}\omega \mid t \subseteq f\}$. Then for every $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ extending *t* and every $m < \omega$, there exists $\beta \in B$ such that $x_\beta \in [s^{\frown}\langle m \rangle]$. That is, for every $n \ge |t|$ and every $m < \omega$, there exists $\beta \in B$ s.t. $f_n(\beta) = x_\beta(n) = m$.

Suppose that $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is the injective enumeration of a Luzin subset of ${}^{\omega}\omega$. Derive $\langle f_n : \omega_1 \to \omega \mid n < \omega \rangle$ by stipulating:

 $f_n(\beta) := x_\beta(n).$

Claim 1

For every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, for a tail of $n < \omega$, $f_n \upharpoonright B$ is onto.

Fix injections $\langle t_{\beta} : \beta \to \omega \mid \beta < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $\{t_{\beta} \upharpoonright \delta \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is countable for all $\delta < \omega_1$. (e.g., compose the nodes of a special Aronszajn tree with the witnessing specializing function.)

Suppose that $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is the injective enumeration of a Luzin subset of ${}^{\omega}\omega$. Derive $\langle f_n : \omega_1 \to \omega \mid n < \omega \rangle$ by stipulating:

 $f_n(\beta) := x_\beta(n).$

Claim 1

For every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, for a tail of $n < \omega$, $f_n \upharpoonright B$ is onto.

Fix injections $\langle t_{\beta} : \beta \to \omega \mid \beta < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $\{t_{\beta} \upharpoonright \delta \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is countable for all $\delta < \omega_1$. (e.g., compose the nodes of a special Aronszajn tree with the witnessing specializing function.) Derive $c : [\omega_1]^2 \to \omega$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$:

$$c(\alpha,\beta) := f_{t_{\beta}(\alpha)}(\beta).$$

Suppose that $\{x_{\beta} \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is the injective enumeration of a Luzin subset of ${}^{\omega}\omega$. Derive $\langle f_n : \omega_1 \to \omega \mid n < \omega \rangle$ by stipulating:

 $f_n(\beta) := x_\beta(n).$

Claim 1

For every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, for a tail of $n < \omega$, $f_n \upharpoonright B$ is onto.

Fix injections $\langle t_{\beta} : \beta \to \omega \mid \beta < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $\{t_{\beta} \upharpoonright \delta \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is countable for all $\delta < \omega_1$. Derive $c : [\omega_1]^2 \to \omega$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$:

$$c(\alpha,\beta) := f_{t_{\beta}(\alpha)}(\beta).$$

Claim 2

$$c \text{ witnesses } \aleph_1
arrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$$

That is, for every $A \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega}$ and $B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$, $c[A \circledast B] = \aleph_0$.

Claim 1

For every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, for a tail of $n < \omega$, $f_n \upharpoonright B$ is onto.

Fix injections $\langle t_{\beta} : \beta \to \omega \mid \beta < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $\{t_{\beta} \upharpoonright \delta \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is countable for all $\delta < \omega_1$. Derive $c : [\omega_1]^2 \to \omega$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$:

$$c(\alpha,\beta) := f_{t_{\beta}(\alpha)}(\beta).$$

Claim 2

 $c \text{ witnesses } \aleph_1 \nrightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

Proof.

Fix arbitrary $A \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega}, B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$.

Claim 1

For every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, for a tail of $n < \omega$, $f_n \upharpoonright B$ is onto.

Fix injections $\langle t_{\beta} : \beta \to \omega \mid \beta < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $\{t_{\beta} \upharpoonright \delta \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is countable for all $\delta < \omega_1$. Derive $c : [\omega_1]^2 \to \omega$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$:

$$c(\alpha,\beta) := f_{t_{\beta}(\alpha)}(\beta).$$

Claim 2

 $c \text{ witnesses } \aleph_1 \nrightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

Proof.

Fix arbitrary $A \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega}$, $B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$. Put $\delta := \sup(A) + 1$. By the pigeonhole principle, fix $t : \delta \to \omega$ and $B' \in [B]^{\omega_1}$ such that $t_{\beta} \upharpoonright \delta = t$ for all $\beta \in B'$. Note that $\min(B) \ge \delta$.

Claim 1

For every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, for a tail of $n < \omega$, $f_n \upharpoonright B$ is onto.

Fix injections $\langle t_{\beta} : \beta \to \omega \mid \beta < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $\{t_{\beta} \upharpoonright \delta \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is countable for all $\delta < \omega_1$. Derive $c : [\omega_1]^2 \to \omega$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$:

$$c(\alpha,\beta) := f_{t_{\beta}(\alpha)}(\beta).$$

Claim 2

 $c \text{ witnesses } \aleph_1 \nrightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

Proof.

Fix arbitrary $A \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega}$, $B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$. Put $\delta := \sup(A) + 1$. By the pigeonhole principle, fix $t : \delta \to \omega$ and $B' \in [B]^{\omega_1}$ such that $t_{\beta} \upharpoonright \delta = t$ for all $\beta \in B'$. Note that $\min(B) \ge \delta$. Pick a large enough $n \in t$ "A such that $f_n \upharpoonright B'$ is onto.

Claim 1

For every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, for a tail of $n < \omega$, $f_n \upharpoonright B$ is onto.

Fix injections $\langle t_{\beta} : \beta \to \omega \mid \beta < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $\{t_{\beta} \upharpoonright \delta \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is countable for all $\delta < \omega_1$. Derive $c : [\omega_1]^2 \to \omega$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$:

$$c(\alpha,\beta) := f_{t_{\beta}(\alpha)}(\beta).$$

Claim 2

 $c \text{ witnesses } \aleph_1 \nrightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

Proof.

Fix arbitrary $A \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega}, B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$. Put $\delta := \sup(A) + 1$. By the pigeonhole principle, fix $t : \delta \to \omega$ and $B' \in [B]^{\omega_1}$ such that $t_{\beta} \upharpoonright \delta = t$ for all $\beta \in B'$. Note that $\min(B) \ge \delta$. Pick a large enough $n \in t$ "A such that $f_n \upharpoonright B'$ is onto. So, for $\alpha \in A$ such that $t(\alpha) = n$, we have $\{c(\alpha, \beta) \mid \beta \in B'\} = \aleph_0$.

Claim 1

For every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, for a tail of $n < \omega$, $f_n \upharpoonright B$ is onto.

Fix injections $\langle t_{\beta} : \beta \to \omega \mid \beta < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $\{t_{\beta} \upharpoonright \delta \mid \beta < \omega_1\}$ is countable for all $\delta < \omega_1$. Derive $c : [\omega_1]^2 \to \omega$ by letting for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$:

$$c(\alpha,\beta) := f_{t_{\beta}(\alpha)}(\beta).$$

Claim 2

 $c \text{ witnesses } \aleph_1 \nrightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

And, if we wish, we can apply some stretching:

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1987 [extending Erdős-Hajnal-Milner, 1966]) If there exists a Luzin set, then $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]_{\aleph_1}^2$.

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1987)

 $\aleph_1 \nrightarrow [\aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1987)	
$\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$	

Theorem (Moore, 2006)

 $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1987)	
$\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$	

Theorem (Moore, 2006)

 $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

Theorem (Galvin-Shelah, 1973)

 $2^{\aleph_0} \not\rightarrow [2^{\aleph_0}]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1987)	
$\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$	

Theorem (Moore, 2006)

 $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

Theorem (Galvin-Shelah, 1973)

 $2^{\aleph_0} \not\rightarrow [2^{\aleph_0}]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

The following is still open.

Problem 3

Does $2^{\aleph_0} \nleftrightarrow [2^{\aleph_0}; 2^{\aleph_0}]^2_{\aleph_0}$ follow from ZFC?

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1987)	
$\aleph_1 \twoheadrightarrow [\aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$	

Theorem (Moore, 2006)

 $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

Theorem (Galvin-Shelah, 1973)

 $2^{\aleph_0} \not\rightarrow [2^{\aleph_0}]^2_{\aleph_0}.$

The following is still open.

Problem 3

Does $2^{\aleph_0} \nrightarrow [2^{\aleph_0}; 2^{\aleph_0}]_2^2$ follow from ZFC?

Theorem (Erdős-Hajnal, 1978)

Suppose that $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \theta$ witnesses $\aleph_1 \not \to [\aleph_1; \aleph_1]^2_{\theta}$. That is, for every $A, B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$, $c[A \circledast B] = \theta$. Then c contains a copy of any countable 2-dimensional θ -coloring.

Theorem (Erdős-Hajnal, 1978)

Suppose that $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \theta$ witnesses $\aleph_1 \not \to [\aleph_1; \aleph_1]^2_{\theta}$. That is, for every $A, B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$, $c[A \circledast B] = \theta$. Then c contains a copy of any countable 2-dimensional θ -coloring.

Reminder

$$\begin{split} c: [\aleph_1]^2 &\to \theta \text{ is said to contain a copy of } d: [\aleph_0]^2 \to \theta \text{ if there exists an} \\ \text{increasing sequence } \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subseteq \aleph_1 \text{ such that} \\ c(\alpha_n, \alpha_m) &= d(n, m) \text{ for all } n, m. \end{split}$$

Theorem (Erdős-Hajnal, 1978)

Suppose that $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_0$ witnesses $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}$. That is, for every $A, B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$, $c[A \circledast B] = \aleph_0$. Then c admits an infinite rainbow set.

Theorem (Hajnal, 2008)

Suppose that $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_0$ witnesses $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1, \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}$. That is, for every $A, B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$, $c[A \times B] = \aleph_0$. Then c admits an infinite rainbow set.

Theorem (Hajnal, 2008)

Suppose that $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_0$ witnesses $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1, \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}$. That is, for every $A, B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$, $c[A \times B] = \aleph_0$. Then c admits an infinite rainbow set.

Todorcevic established $\aleph_1 \twoheadrightarrow [\aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}$ in ZFC, but the following is still open.

Problem 4

Does there exist a rainbow-triangle-free coloring witnessing $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]_{\aleph_0}^2$?

Theorem (Hajnal, 2008)

Suppose that $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_0$ witnesses $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1, \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}$. That is, for every $A, B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$, $c[A \times B] = \aleph_0$. Then c admits an infinite rainbow set.

Todorcevic established $\aleph_1 \twoheadrightarrow [\aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}$ in ZFC, but the following is still open.

Problem 4

Does there exist a rainbow-triangle-free coloring witnessing $\aleph_1 \nrightarrow [\aleph_1]_{\aleph_0}^2$?

Consistent examples were constructed from CH (Shelah, 1975), a Luzin set (Erdős-Hajnal, 1978), and a Souslin tree (Todorcevic, 1981).

Theorem (Hajnal, 2008)

Suppose that $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_0$ witnesses $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1, \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}$. That is, for every $A, B \in [\aleph_1]^{\omega_1}$, $c[A \times B] = \aleph_0$. Then c admits an infinite rainbow set.

Todorcevic established $\aleph_1 \twoheadrightarrow [\aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}$ in ZFC, but the following is still open.

Problem 4

Does there exist a rainbow-triangle-free coloring witnessing $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]_{\aleph_0}^2$?

Consistent examples were constructed from CH (Shelah, 1975), a Luzin set (Erdős-Hajnal, 1978), and a Souslin tree (Todorcevic, 1981).

Shelah's approach is surprising, so let us look at it.

Shelah's idea: use a ready-made coloring, and only construct its domain.

Shelah's idea: use a ready-made coloring, and only construct its domain.

The Cantor space

Consider the Cantor space $\langle 2^{\omega}, d \rangle$, where $d : [2^{\omega}]^2 \to \mathbb{Q}$ is derived from the product topology. That is, $d(f,g) := \frac{1}{2^{\Delta(f,g)}}$, where

$$\Delta(f,g) := \min\{m < \omega \mid f(m) \neq g(m)\}.$$

Shelah's idea: use a ready-made coloring, and only construct its domain.

The Cantor space

Consider the Cantor space $\langle 2^{\omega}, d \rangle$, where $d : [2^{\omega}]^2 \to \mathbb{Q}$ is derived from the product topology. That is, $d(f,g) := \frac{1}{2^{\Delta(f,g)}}$, where

$$\Delta(f,g) := \min\{m < \omega \mid f(m) \neq g(m)\}.$$

Then d is ultrametric, so that d (and Δ) admit no rainbow triangles.

Shelah's idea: use a ready-made coloring, and only construct its domain.

The Cantor space

Consider the Cantor space $\langle 2^{\omega}, d \rangle$, where $d : [2^{\omega}]^2 \to \mathbb{Q}$ is derived from the product topology. That is, $d(f,g) := \frac{1}{2^{\Delta(f,g)}}$, where

$$\Delta(f,g) := \min\{m < \omega \mid f(m) \neq g(m)\}.$$

Then d is ultrametric, so that d (and Δ) admit no rainbow triangles. Fix a surjection $\psi : \omega \to \omega$ such that the preimage of any singleton is infinite, and put $c := \psi \circ \Delta$.

Shelah's idea: use a ready-made coloring, and only construct its domain.

The Cantor space

Consider the Cantor space $\langle 2^{\omega}, d \rangle$, where $d : [2^{\omega}]^2 \to \mathbb{Q}$ is derived from the product topology. That is, $d(f,g) := \frac{1}{2^{\Delta(f,g)}}$, where

$$\Delta(f,g) := \min\{m < \omega \mid f(m) \neq g(m)\}.$$

Then *d* is ultrametric, so that *d* (and Δ) admit no rainbow triangles. Fix a surjection $\psi : \omega \to \omega$ such that the preimage of any singleton is infinite, and put $c := \psi \circ \Delta$. So *c* admits no rainbow triangles.

Shelah's idea: use a ready-made coloring, and only construct its domain.

The Cantor space

Consider the Cantor space $\langle 2^{\omega}, d \rangle$, where $d : [2^{\omega}]^2 \to \mathbb{Q}$ is derived from the product topology. That is, $d(f,g) := \frac{1}{2^{\Delta(f,g)}}$, where

$$\Delta(f,g) := \min\{m < \omega \mid f(m) \neq g(m)\}.$$

Then *d* is ultrametric, so that *d* (and Δ) admit no rainbow triangles. Fix a surjection $\psi : \omega \to \omega$ such that the preimage of any singleton is infinite, and put $c := \psi \circ \Delta$. So *c* admits no rainbow triangles.

Theorem (Shelah, 1975)

CH entails $X \subseteq 2^{\omega}$ of size \aleph_1 such that $c \upharpoonright [X]^2$ witnesses $\aleph_1 \nrightarrow [\aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_0}$.

Recall Problem 3

Does $2^{\aleph_0} \nleftrightarrow [2^{\aleph_0}; 2^{\aleph_0}]_2^2$ follow from ZFC?

Fact

For every successor cardinal κ , and every θ , the following are equivalent:

•
$$\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta};$$

• $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa; \kappa]^2_{\theta}$



Theorem

For every infinite cardinal λ , there exists a function **rts** : $[\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$ with the following property;



Theorem

For every infinite cardinal λ , there exists a function **rts** : $[\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$ with the following property; For all subsets $A, B \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ ,



Theorem

For every infinite cardinal λ , there exists a function **rts** : $[\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$ with the following property; For all subsets $A, B \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ ,



Theorem

For every infinite cardinal λ , there exists a function **rts** : $[\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$ with the following property; For all subsets $A, B \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ , there exists a subset $C \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ such that

- (Shelah, 1990) for λ regular > 2^{\aleph_0};
- (Shelah, 1991) for λ regular $> \aleph_1$;
- (Shelah, 1997) for $\lambda = \aleph_1$;

Theorem

For every infinite cardinal λ , there exists a function **rts** : $[\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$ with the following property; For all subsets $A, B \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ , there exists a subset $C \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ such that

- (Shelah, 1990) for λ regular > 2^{\aleph_0};
- (Shelah, 1991) for λ regular $> \aleph_1$;
- (Shelah, 1997) for $\lambda = \aleph_1$;
- (Moore, 2006) for $\lambda = \aleph_0$;

Theorem

For every infinite cardinal λ , there exists a function **rts** : $[\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$ with the following property; For all subsets $A, B \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ , there exists a subset $C \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ such that

- (Shelah, 1990) for λ regular > 2^{\aleph_0};
- (Shelah, 1991) for λ regular $> \aleph_1$;
- (Shelah, 1997) for $\lambda = \aleph_1$;
- (Moore, 2006) for $\lambda = \aleph_0$;
- (Rinot, 2012) for λ singular.

Theorem

For every infinite cardinal λ , there exists a function **rts** : $[\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$ with the following property; For all subsets $A, B \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ , there exists a subset $C \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ such that
Transforming rectangles into squares

- (Shelah, 1990) for λ regular $> 2^{\aleph_0}$;
- (Shelah, 1991) for λ regular $> \aleph_1$;
- (Shelah, 1997) for $\lambda = \aleph_1$;
- (Moore, 2006) for $\lambda = \aleph_0$;
- (Rinot, 2012) for λ singular;
- (Todorcevic-Rinot, 2013) uniform proof for all regular λ .

Theorem

For every infinite cardinal λ , there exists a function **rts** : $[\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$ with the following property; For all subsets $A, B \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ , there exists a subset $C \subseteq \lambda^+$ of size λ^+ such that

 $\mathsf{rts}[A \circledast B] \supseteq C \circledast C.$

Transforming rectangles into squares

Corollary

For every successor cardinal κ , and every θ , the following are equivalent:

•
$$\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta};$$

• $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa; \kappa]^2_{\theta}.$

Proof.

If c witnesses $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa]^2_{\theta}$, then $c \circ \mathbf{rts}$ witnesses $\kappa \not\rightarrow [\kappa; \kappa]^2_{\theta}$.

Theorem (Shore, 1974)

If $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_1}$ and there exists a Kurepa tree, then $\aleph_2 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]^3_{\aleph_1}$.

Theorem (Shore, 1974)

If $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_1}$ and there exists a Kurepa tree, then $\aleph_2 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]^3_{\aleph_1}$.

Let $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_1$ be a witness to $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_1}$.

Theorem (Shore, 1974)

If $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_1}$ and there exists a Kurepa tree, then $\aleph_2 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]^3_{\aleph_1}$.

Let $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_1$ be a witness to $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_1}$. Let $\{b_\alpha \mid \alpha < \aleph_2\}$ be the injective enumeration of branches through some fixed Kurepa subtree of ${}^{<\omega_1}2$.

Theorem (Shore, 1974)

If $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_1}$ and there exists a Kurepa tree, then $\aleph_2 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]^3_{\aleph_1}$.

Let $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_1$ be a witness to $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]_{\aleph_1}^2$. Let $\{b_\alpha \mid \alpha < \aleph_2\}$ be the injective enumeration of branches through some fixed Kurepa subtree of ${}^{<\omega_1}2$. For each $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \aleph_2$, denote

$$\delta(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) := \{ \Delta(b_{\alpha},b_{\beta}), \Delta(b_{\alpha},b_{\gamma}), \Delta(b_{\beta},b_{\gamma}) \},\$$

so that $\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is an element of $[\aleph_1]^2$.

Theorem (Shore, 1974)

If $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_1}$ and there exists a Kurepa tree, then $\aleph_2 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]^3_{\aleph_1}$.

Let $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_1$ be a witness to $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_1}$. Let $\{b_\alpha \mid \alpha < \aleph_2\}$ be the injective enumeration of branches through some fixed Kurepa subtree of ${}^{<\omega_1}2$. For each $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \aleph_2$, denote

$$\delta(lpha,eta,\gamma):=\{\Delta(b_lpha,b_eta),\Delta(b_lpha,b_\gamma),\Delta(b_eta,b_\gamma)\},$$

so that $\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is an element of $[\aleph_1]^2$. Derive $d : [\aleph_2]^3 \to \aleph_1$ by stipulating:

 $d(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) := c(\delta(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)).$

Theorem (Shore, 1974)

If $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_1}$ and there exists a Kurepa tree, then $\aleph_2 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]^3_{\aleph_1}$.

Let $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_1$ be a witness to $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]_{\aleph_1}^2$. Let $\{b_\alpha \mid \alpha < \aleph_2\}$ be the injective enumeration of branches through some fixed Kurepa subtree of ${}^{<\omega_1}2$. For each $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \aleph_2$, denote

$$\delta(lpha,eta,\gamma):=\{\Delta(b_lpha,b_eta),\Delta(b_lpha,b_\gamma),\Delta(b_eta,b_\gamma)\},$$

so that $\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is an element of $[\aleph_1]^2$. Derive $d : [\aleph_2]^3 \to \aleph_1$ by stipulating:

$$d(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := c(\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)).$$

This works!

Theorem (Shore, 1974)

If $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_1}$ and there exists a Kurepa tree, then $\aleph_2 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]^3_{\aleph_1}$.

Let $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \to \aleph_1$ be a witness to $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_0; \aleph_1]^2_{\aleph_1}$. Let $\{b_\alpha \mid \alpha < \aleph_2\}$ be the injective enumeration of branches through some fixed Kurepa subtree of ${}^{<\omega_1}2$. For each $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \aleph_2$, denote

$$\delta(lpha,eta,\gamma):=\{\Delta(b_lpha,b_eta),\Delta(b_lpha,b_\gamma),\Delta(b_eta,b_\gamma)\},$$

so that $\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is an element of $[\aleph_1]^2$. Derive $d : [\aleph_2]^3 \to \aleph_1$ by stipulating:

$$d(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := c(\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)).$$

This works!

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1994)

 $\aleph_2 \not\rightarrow [\aleph_1]^3_{\aleph_0}.$