

## HOW TO CONSTRUCT A SOUSLIN TREE THE RIGHT WAY

ABSTRACT. An excerpt from the paper “A Microscopic approach to Souslin-tree constructions. Part I” by Brodsky and Rinot.

Throughout, let  $\kappa$  denote a regular uncountable cardinal.

For a set of ordinals,  $C$ , write  $\text{acc}(C) := \{\alpha \in C \mid \sup(C \cap \alpha) = \alpha > 0\}$  and  $\text{nacc}(C) := C \setminus \text{acc}(C)$ .

**Definition 1** ([1]).  $\boxtimes^-(\kappa)$  asserts the existence of a sequence  $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  such that:

- $C_\alpha$  is a closed subset of  $\alpha$  with  $\sup(C_\alpha) = \sup(\alpha)$ ;
- $C_\beta = C_\alpha \cap \beta$  for all  $\beta \in \text{acc}(C_\alpha)$ ;
- for every cofinal  $B \subseteq \kappa$ , there exists an infinite ordinal  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $\sup(\text{nacc}(C_\alpha) \cap B) = \alpha$ .

Clearly,  $\diamond(\omega_1) \implies \clubsuit(\omega_1) \implies \boxtimes^-(\omega_1)$ . By [1], if  $V = L$ , then  $\boxtimes^-(\kappa)$  holds for every (regular uncountable cardinal)  $\kappa$  that is not weakly compact.

**Definition 2** ([1]).  $\diamond^-(H_\kappa)$  asserts the existence of a sequence  $\langle S_\beta \mid \beta < \kappa \rangle$  such that for every parameter  $p \in H_{\kappa^+}$  and subset  $S \subseteq H_\kappa$ , there exists an elementary submodel  $\mathcal{M} \prec H_{\kappa^+}$  containing  $p$  such that  $\kappa^{\mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{M} \cap \kappa$  is an ordinal  $< \kappa$  and  $S_{\kappa^{\mathcal{M}}} = \mathcal{M} \cap S$ .

A proof of the easy fact that  $\diamond(\kappa)$  is equivalent to  $\diamond^-(H_\kappa)$  may be found in [1].

**Proposition 3** ([1]). *If  $\boxtimes^-(\kappa) + \diamond(\kappa)$  holds, then there exists a  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree.*

*Proof.* Let  $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  be a witness to  $\boxtimes^-(\kappa)$ . Let  $\langle S_\beta \mid \beta < \kappa \rangle$  be a witness to  $\diamond^-(H_\kappa)$ . In addition, let  $\triangleleft$  be some well-ordering of  ${}^{<\kappa}2$ .

We shall recursively construct a sequence  $\langle T_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  such that  $T := \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} T_\alpha$  ordered by  $\subset$  will form a normal  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree whose  $\alpha^{\text{th}}$ -level be  $T_\alpha$ . Furthermore, we shall ensure that for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $T_\alpha$  be a subset of  ${}^\alpha 2$  of size  $\leq \max\{\aleph_0, |\alpha|\}$ .

Let  $T_0 := \{\emptyset\}$ , and for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ , let  $T_{\alpha+1} := \{t \hat{\ } \langle 0 \rangle, t \hat{\ } \langle 1 \rangle \mid t \in T_\alpha\}$ .

Next, suppose that  $\alpha$  is a nonzero limit ordinal, and that  $\langle T_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle$  has already been defined. Denote  $T \upharpoonright \alpha := \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} T_\beta$ . Constructing the level  $T_\alpha$  involves deciding which branches through  $(T \upharpoonright \alpha, \subset)$  will have their limits placed into the tree. We need  $T_\alpha$  to contain enough nodes to ensure that the tree is normal, so the idea is to attach to each node  $x \in T \upharpoonright C_\alpha := \bigcup_{\beta \in C_\alpha} T_\beta$  some node  $\mathbf{b}_x^\alpha : \alpha \rightarrow 2$  above it, and then let

$$T_\alpha := \{\mathbf{b}_x^\alpha \mid x \in T \upharpoonright C_\alpha\}.$$

Let  $x \in T \upharpoonright C_\alpha$  be arbitrary. As  $\mathbf{b}_x^\alpha$  will be the limit of some branch through  $\{y \in T \upharpoonright \alpha \mid x \subseteq y\}$ , and as  $\sup(C_\alpha) = \alpha$ , it makes sense to describe  $\mathbf{b}_x^\alpha$  as the limit  $\bigcup \text{Im}(b_x^\alpha)$  of a sequence  $b_x^\alpha \in \prod_{\beta \in C_\alpha \setminus \text{dom}(x)} T_\beta$  such that:

- $b_x^\alpha(\text{dom}(x)) = x$ ;
- $b_x^\alpha(\beta') \subset b_x^\alpha(\beta)$  for all  $\beta' < \beta$  both from  $C_\alpha \setminus \text{dom}(x)$ ;
- $b_x^\alpha(\beta) = \bigcup \text{Im}(b_x^\alpha \upharpoonright \beta)$  for all  $\beta \in \text{acc}(C_\alpha \setminus \text{dom}(x))$ .

We do this by recursion:

Let  $b_x^\alpha(\text{dom}(x)) := x$ . Next, suppose  $\beta^- < \beta$  are successive points of  $C_\alpha \setminus \text{dom}(x)$ , and  $b_x^\alpha(\beta^-)$  has already been defined. In order to decide  $b_x^\alpha(\beta)$ , we advise with the following set:

$$Q_{x,\beta}^\alpha := \{t \in T_\beta \mid \exists s \in S_\beta (s \cup b_x^\alpha(\beta^-)) \subseteq t\}.$$

Now, consider the two possibilities:

- If  $Q_{x,\beta}^\alpha \neq \emptyset$ , then let  $b_x^\alpha(\beta)$  be its  $\triangleleft$ -least element.
- Otherwise, let  $b_x^\alpha(\beta)$  be the  $\triangleleft$ -least element of  $T_\beta$  that extends  $b_x^\alpha(\beta^-)$ . Such an element must exist, as the level  $T_\beta$  was constructed so as to preserve normality.

Finally, suppose  $\beta \in \text{acc}(C_\alpha \setminus \text{dom}(x))$  and  $b_x^\alpha \upharpoonright \beta$  has already been defined. As promised, we let  $b_x^\alpha(\beta) := \bigcup \text{Im}(b_x^\alpha \upharpoonright \beta)$ . It is clear that  $b_x^\alpha(\beta) \in {}^\beta 2$ , but we need more than that:

**Claim 3.1.**  $b_x^\alpha(\beta) \in T_\beta$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $b_x^\alpha \upharpoonright \beta = b_x^\beta$ , as this will imply that  $b_x^\alpha(\beta) = \bigcup \text{Im}(b_x^\beta) = \mathbf{b}_x^\beta \in T_\beta$ .

First, note that since  $\beta \in \text{acc}(C_\alpha)$  and  $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  is a  $\boxtimes^-(\kappa)$ -sequence, we have  $\text{dom}(b_x^\beta) = C_\beta \setminus \text{dom}(x) = C_\alpha \cap \beta \setminus \text{dom}(x) = \text{dom}(b_x^\alpha) \cap \beta$ . Call the latter by  $d$ . Now, we prove by induction that for every  $\gamma \in d$ , the value of  $b_x^\beta(\gamma)$  was determined in exactly the same way as  $b_x^\alpha(\gamma)$ :

- Clearly,  $b_x^\beta(\min(d)) = x = b_x^\alpha(\min(d))$ .
- Suppose  $\gamma^- < \gamma$  are successive points of  $d$ . Notice that the definition of  $Q_{x,\gamma}^\alpha$  depends only on  $b_x^\alpha(\gamma^-)$ ,  $S_\gamma$ , and  $T_\gamma$ , and so if  $b_x^\alpha(\gamma^-) = b_x^\beta(\gamma^-)$ , then  $Q_{x,\gamma}^\alpha = Q_{x,\gamma}^\beta$ , and hence  $b_x^\alpha(\gamma) = b_x^\beta(\gamma)$ .
- For  $\gamma \in \text{acc}(d)$ : If the sequences are identical up to  $\gamma$ , then their limits must be identical.  $\square$

This completes the definition of  $b_x^\alpha$  for each  $x \in T \upharpoonright C_\alpha$ , and hence of the level  $T_\alpha$ .

Put  $T := \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} T_\alpha$ . The definition of  $T_{\alpha+1}$  for  $\alpha < \kappa$  makes it clear that  $(T, \subset)$  is splitting. Consequently, to prove that  $(T, \subset)$  is  $\kappa$ -Souslin, it now suffices to show that it has no  $\kappa$ -sized antichains.

To this end, suppose that  $A$  is a maximal antichain in  $(T, \subset)$ . Put

$$B := \{\beta < \kappa \mid A \cap (T \upharpoonright \beta) = S_\beta \text{ is a maximal antichain in } T \upharpoonright \beta\}.$$

**Claim 3.2.**  $B$  is cofinal in  $\kappa$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon < \kappa$  be arbitrary. We must show that  $B \not\subseteq \epsilon$ . Pick  $\mathcal{M} \prec H_{\kappa^+}$  containing  $p := \{A, T, \epsilon\}$  such that  $S_{\kappa^+} \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \cap A$ . Write  $\beta := \kappa^{\mathcal{M}}$ . By  $\epsilon \in p \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\beta > \epsilon$ . We claim that  $\beta \in B$ .

For all  $\alpha < \beta$ , by  $\alpha, T \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $T_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ , and by  $\mathcal{M} \models |T_\alpha| < \kappa$ , we have  $T_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ . So  $T \upharpoonright \beta \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ . As  $\text{dom}(z) \in \mathcal{M}$  for all  $z \in T \cap \mathcal{M}$ , we conclude that  $T \cap \mathcal{M} = T \upharpoonright \beta$ . Thus,  $S_\beta = A \cap (T \upharpoonright \beta)$ .

Finally, since  $H_{\kappa^+} \models A$  is a maximal antichain in  $T$ , it follows by elementarity that

$$\mathcal{M} \models A \text{ is a maximal antichain in } T.$$

Since  $T \cap \mathcal{M} = T \upharpoonright \beta$ , we get that  $A \cap (T \upharpoonright \beta)$  is a maximal antichain in  $T \upharpoonright \beta$ . Altogether,  $\beta \in B \setminus \epsilon$ .  $\square$

Since  $B$  is cofinal in  $\kappa$ , let us fix an infinite ordinal  $\alpha < \kappa$  for which  $\sup(\text{nacc}(C_\alpha) \cap B) = \alpha$ .

**Claim 3.3.**  $A \subseteq T \upharpoonright \alpha$ . In particular,  $|A| < \kappa$

*Proof.* Fix an arbitrary  $z \in T$  with  $\text{dom}(z) \geq \alpha$ . We shall show that  $z \notin A$ .

Since  $y := z \upharpoonright \alpha$  is in  $T_\alpha$ , and  $\alpha$  is a nonzero limit ordinal, the construction entails that  $y = \mathbf{b}_x^\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta \in C_\alpha \setminus \text{dom}(x)} b_x^\alpha(\beta)$  for some  $x \in T \upharpoonright C_\alpha$ . Fix  $\beta \in \text{nacc}(C_\alpha) \cap B$  above  $\text{dom}(x)$ . Denote  $\beta^- := \sup(C_\alpha \cap \beta)$ . Since  $\beta \in B$ , we know that  $S_\beta = A \cap (T \upharpoonright \beta)$  is a maximal antichain in  $T \upharpoonright \beta$ , and hence there is some  $s \in S_\beta$  compatible with  $b_x^\alpha(\beta^-)$ , so that by normality of the tree,  $Q_{x,\beta}^\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that we chose  $b_x^\alpha(\beta)$  to extend some  $s \in S_\beta \subseteq A$ . Altogether,  $s \subseteq b_x^\alpha(\beta) \subset \mathbf{b}_x^\alpha = y \subseteq z$ . As  $s$  is an element of the antichain  $A$  and  $z$  properly extends  $s$ , we have  $z \notin A$ .  $\square$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Note that the preceding provides a uniform proof of the following.

**Corollary 4** (Jensen, [2]). *If  $V = L$ , then for every regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ , TFAE:*

- $\kappa$  is not weakly compact;
- There exists a  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ari Meir Brodsky and Assaf Rinot. *A microscopic approach to Souslin-tree constructions. Part I*. Submitted, December 2015. <http://www.assafrinot.com/paper/22>
- [2] R. Björn Jensen. The fine structure of the constructible hierarchy. *Ann. Math. Logic*, 4:229–308, 1972.