# The extent of the failure of Ramsey's theorem at successor cardinals

CMS Winter Meeting Toronto, Canada 11-December-2011



Assaf Rinot University of Toronto Mississauga & The Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences

## Introduction



## Ramsey's theorem

#### The square-bracket relation

Let  $\lambda \to [\lambda]^2_{\kappa}$  denote the assertion: For every function  $f : [\lambda]^2 \to \kappa$ , there exists a subset  $H \subseteq \lambda$ of size  $\lambda$  such that  $f "[H]^2 \neq \kappa$ .

## Ramsey's theorem

#### The square-bracket relation

Let  $\lambda \to [\lambda]^2_{\kappa}$  denote the assertion: For every function  $f : [\lambda]^2 \to \kappa$ , there exists a subset  $H \subseteq \lambda$ of size  $\lambda$  such that  $f "[H]^2 \neq \kappa$ .

## Theorem (Ramsey, 1929)

 $\omega \rightarrow [\omega]_2^2$  holds.

I.e., if we partition the set of (unordered) pairs of natural numbers into two sets  $A_0, A_1$ , then there exists an infinite set H and an index i < 2, for which the square satisfies  $[H]^2 \subseteq A_i$ .

Ramsey's theorem (Cont.)

## Theorem (Ramsey, 1929) $\omega \rightarrow [\omega]_2^2.$

Ramsey's theorem is very pleasing. Unfortunately, it does not generalize to higher cardinals.

Ramsey's theorem (Cont.)

# Theorem (Ramsey, 1929) $\omega \rightarrow [\omega]_2^2$ .

Ramsey's theorem is very pleasing. Unfortunately, it does not generalize to higher cardinals.

```
Theorem (Sierpiński, 1933)
\omega_1 \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_2^2.
```

I.e., there exists a partition  $[\omega_1]^2 = A_0 \oplus A_1$ , such that for every uncountable  $H \subseteq \omega_1$ , we have  $[H]^2 \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$  for both i < 2.

## Theorem (Sierpiński, 1933) $\omega_1 \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_2^2.$

Sierpiński theorem handles partitions of the form  $[\omega_1]^2 = A_0 \uplus A_1$ . How about partitions of the form  $[\omega_1]^2 = \biguplus_{i < \omega_1} A_i$ ?

## Theorem (Sierpiński, 1933) $\omega_1 \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]_2^2$ .

Sierpiński theorem handles partitions of the form  $[\omega_1]^2 = A_0 \uplus A_1$ . How about partitions of the form  $[\omega_1]^2 = \biguplus_{i < \omega_1} A_i$ ?

```
Theorem (Erdös-Hajnal-Rado, 1965)
CH entails \omega_1 \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]^2_{\omega_1}.
```

Theorem (Erdös-Hajnal-Rado, 1965) *CH* entails  $\omega_1 \neq [\omega_1]^2_{\omega_1}$ . Theorem (Todorčević, 1987)  $\omega_1 \neq [\omega_1]^2_{\omega_1}$  holds in ZFC.

```
Theorem (Sierpiński, 1933)

\omega_1 \neq [\omega_1]_2^2.

Theorem (Todorčević, 1987)

\omega_1 \neq [\omega_1]_{\omega_1}^2.
```

► A function witnessing the failure of the square bracket relation is considered as a strong coloring.

## Shelah's study of strong colorings



## The rectangular square-bracket relation

#### Negative square-bracket relation

Let  $\lambda \not\rightarrow [\lambda]^2_{\kappa}$  denote the assertion: There exists a function  $f : [\lambda]^2 \rightarrow \kappa$ , such that for every subset  $X \subseteq \lambda$  of size  $\lambda$ , we have  $f''[X]^2 = \kappa$ .

#### Negative rectangular square-bracket relation

Let  $\lambda \not\rightarrow [\lambda; \lambda]^2_{\kappa}$  denote the assertion: There exists a function  $f: [\lambda]^2 \rightarrow \kappa$ , such that for every subsets X, Y of  $\lambda$ , each of size  $\lambda$ , we have  $f[X \circledast Y] = \kappa$ . The rectangular square-bracket relation (Cont.)

Theorem (Erdös-Hajnal-Rado, 1965) *CH* entails  $\omega_1 \neq [\omega_1]^2_{\omega_1}$ . Theorem (Todorčević, 1987)  $\omega_1 \neq [\omega_1]^2_{\omega_1}$  holds in ZFC. The rectangular square-bracket relation (Cont.)

Theorem (Erdös-Hajnal-Rado, 1965) *CH entails*  $\omega_1 \not\rightarrow [\omega_1; \omega_1]^2_{\omega_1}$ . Theorem (Todorčević, 1987)  $\omega_1 \not\rightarrow [\omega_1]^2_{\omega_1}$  holds in ZFC. The rectangular square-bracket relation (Cont.)

Theorem (Erdös-Hajnal-Rado, 1965) *CH* entails  $\omega_1 \neq [\omega_1; \omega_1]^2_{\omega_1}$ . Theorem (Todorčević, 1987)  $\omega_1 \neq [\omega_1]^2_{\omega_1}$  holds in ZFC. Theorem (Moore, 2006)  $\omega_1 \neq [\omega_1; \omega_1]^2_{\omega_1}$  holds in ZFC. Main result: comparing squares with rectangles

Theorem TFAE for all cardinals  $\lambda, \kappa$ :

$$\lambda^{+} \not\rightarrow [\lambda^{+}]_{\kappa}^{2}$$
$$\lambda^{+} \not\rightarrow [\lambda^{+}; \lambda^{+}]_{\kappa}^{2}$$

Main result: comparing squares with rectangles

Theorem *TFAE for all cardinals*  $\lambda, \kappa$ :

 $\lambda^{+} \not\rightarrow [\lambda^{+}]_{\kappa}^{2}$  $\lambda^{+} \not\rightarrow [\lambda^{+}; \lambda^{+}]_{\kappa}^{2}$ 

The above theorem was the missing link to the following corollary.

Corollary (Eisworth+Shelah+R.)

TFAE for every uncountable cardinal  $\lambda$ :

- $\blacktriangleright \ \lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$
- $\Pr(\lambda^+, \lambda^+, \omega)$

For the definition of  $Pr_0$ , see appendix.

## Surprise, Surprise!!



Main result in two parts

Theorem TFAE for all cardinals  $\lambda, \kappa$ :

 $\lambda^{+} \not\rightarrow [\lambda^{+}]_{\kappa}^{2}$  $\lambda^{+} \not\rightarrow [\lambda^{+}; \lambda^{+}]_{\kappa}^{2}$ 

The theorem will follow from the following two ZFC results:

1. if  $\lambda = cf(\lambda)$ , then  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$  holds;

Main result in two parts

Theorem TFAE for all cardinals  $\lambda, \kappa$ :

 $\lambda^{+} \not\rightarrow [\lambda^{+}]_{\kappa}^{2}$  $\lambda^{+} \not\rightarrow [\lambda^{+}; \lambda^{+}]_{\kappa}^{2}$ 

The theorem will follow from the following two ZFC results:

- 1. if  $\lambda = cf(\lambda)$ , then  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$  holds;
- 2. if  $\lambda > cf(\lambda)$ , then there exists a function  $rts : [\lambda^+]^2 \to [\lambda^+]^2$ such that for every cofinal subsets X, Y of  $\lambda^+$ , there exists a cofinal subset  $Z \subseteq \lambda^+$  such that  $rts[X \circledast Y] \supseteq Z \circledast Z$ .

## **Successors of regulars**



Let  $\lambda$  denote a regular cardinal. Then:

1. (Todorčević, 1987)  $\lambda^+ 
eq [\lambda^+]_{\lambda^+}^2$  [Partitioning pairs of countable ordinals]

- 1. (Todorčević, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$  [Partitioning pairs of countable ordinals]
- 2. (Shelah, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda > 2^{\aleph_0}$  [Sh:280]

- 1. (Todorčević, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$  [Partitioning pairs of countable ordinals]
- 2. (Shelah, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda > 2^{\aleph_0}$  [Sh:280]
- 3. (Shelah, 1991)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda > \aleph_1$  [Sh:327]

- 1. (Todorčević, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$  [Partitioning pairs of countable ordinals]
- 2. (Shelah, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda > 2^{\aleph_0}$  [Sh:280]
- 3. (Shelah, 1991)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda > \aleph_1$  [Sh:327]
- 4. (Shelah, 1996)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda = \aleph_1$  [Sh:572]

- 1. (Todorčević, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$  [Partitioning pairs of countable ordinals]
- 2. (Shelah, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda > 2^{\aleph_0}$  [Sh:280]
- 3. (Shelah, 1991)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda > \aleph_1$  [Sh:327]
- 4. (Shelah, 1996)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda = \aleph_1$  [Sh:572]
- 5. (Moore, 2006)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda = \aleph_0$  [A solution to the L space problem]

Let  $\lambda$  denote a regular cardinal. Then:

- 1. (Todorčević, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$  [Partitioning pairs of countable ordinals]
- 2. (Shelah, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda > 2^{\aleph_0}$  [Sh:280]
- 3. (Shelah, 1991)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda > \aleph_1$  [Sh:327]
- 4. (Shelah, 1996)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda = \aleph_1$  [Sh:572]
- 5. (Moore, 2006)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda = \aleph_0$  [A solution to the L space problem]

Corollary (Shelah+Moore)  $\lambda^+ \neq [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$  holds for every regular cardinal  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  denote a regular cardinal. Then:

- 1. (Todorčević, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$  [Partitioning pairs of countable ordinals]
- 2. (Shelah, 1987)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda > 2^{\aleph_0}$  [Sh:280]
- 3. (Shelah, 1991)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda > \aleph_1$  [Sh:327]
- 4. (Shelah, 1996)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda = \aleph_1$  [Sh:572]
- 5. (Moore, 2006)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$ , if  $\lambda = \aleph_0$  [A solution to the L space problem]

#### Corollary (Shelah+Moore)

 $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$  holds for every regular cardinal  $\lambda$ .

#### Remark

In a recent joint work with Todorčević, we found a uniform proof of the above 3 + 4 + 5.

## **Successors of singulars**

THE ANATOMY OF A LATTE



Theorem (Shelah, 1990's)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{cf(\lambda)}$  holds for every singular cardinal  $\lambda$ .

Theorem (Shelah, 1990's)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{cf(\lambda)}$  holds for every singular cardinal  $\lambda$ .

## Theorem (Shelah, 1990's)

If  $\lambda$  is a singular cardinal of uncountable cofinality, then  $E_{cf(\lambda)}^{\lambda^+}$  carries a club-guessing sequence of a very strong form.

### Theorem (Eisworth, 2010)

If  $\lambda$  is a singular cardinal of countable cofinality, then  $E_{\omega_1}^{\lambda^+}$  carries a club-guessing matrix of a very strong form.

Theorem (Shelah, 1990's)  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{cf(\lambda)}$  holds for every singular cardinal  $\lambda$ .

## Theorem (Shelah, 1990's)

If  $\lambda$  is a singular cardinal of uncountable cofinality, then  $E_{cf(\lambda)}^{\lambda^+}$  carries a club-guessing sequence of a very strong form.

## Theorem (Eisworth, 2010)

If  $\lambda$  is a singular cardinal of countable cofinality, then  $E_{\omega_1}^{\lambda^+}$  carries a club-guessing matrix of a very strong form.

#### Still Open

Whether  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2_{\lambda^+}$  hold for all singular  $\lambda$ , in ZFC.

#### Main technical result

For every singular cardinal  $\lambda$ , there exists a function  $rts : [\lambda^+]^2 \to [\lambda^+]^2$  such that for every cofinal subsets X, Y of  $\lambda^+$ , there exists a cofinal subset  $Z \subseteq \lambda^+$  such that  $rts[X \circledast Y] \supseteq Z \circledast Z$ .

Remark: our proof builds heavily on previous arguments of Shelah, Todorčević, and most notably — Eisworth.

#### Main technical result

For every singular cardinal  $\lambda$ , there exists a function  $rts: [\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$  such that for every cofinal subsets X, Y of  $\lambda^+$ , there exists a cofinal subset  $Z \subseteq \lambda^+$  such that  $rts[X \circledast Y] \supseteq Z \circledast Z$ . Remark: our proof builds heavily on previous arguments of Shelah, Todorčević, and most notably — Eisworth.

#### The definition of rts

Fix a matrix of local clubs (C<sup>i</sup><sub>α</sub> | α < λ<sup>+</sup>, i < cf(λ)) that incorporates a club-guessing sequence/matrix.</p>

#### Main technical result

For every singular cardinal  $\lambda$ , there exists a function  $rts: [\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$  such that for every cofinal subsets X, Y of  $\lambda^+$ , there exists a cofinal subset  $Z \subseteq \lambda^+$  such that  $rts[X \circledast Y] \supseteq Z \circledast Z$ . Remark: our proof builds heavily on previous arguments of Shelah, Todorčević, and most notably — Eisworth.

- Fix a matrix of local clubs (C<sup>i</sup><sub>α</sub> | α < λ<sup>+</sup>, i < cf(λ)) that incorporates a club-guessing sequence/matrix.</p>
- ► Adapt Shelah's proof of  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{cf(\lambda)}$ , to get a function  $f : [\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow {}^{<\omega} cf(\lambda) \times {}^{<\omega} cf(\lambda)$  with strong properties.

#### Main technical result

For every singular cardinal  $\lambda$ , there exists a function  $rts: [\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$  such that for every cofinal subsets X, Y of  $\lambda^+$ , there exists a cofinal subset  $Z \subseteq \lambda^+$  such that  $rts[X \circledast Y] \supseteq Z \circledast Z$ . Remark: our proof builds heavily on previous arguments of Shelah, Todorčević, and most notably — Eisworth.

- Fix a matrix of local clubs (C<sup>i</sup><sub>α</sub> | α < λ<sup>+</sup>, i < cf(λ)) that incorporates a club-guessing sequence/matrix.</p>
- Adapt Shelah's proof of  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{cf(\lambda)}$ , to get a function  $f : [\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow {}^{<\omega} cf(\lambda) \times {}^{<\omega} cf(\lambda)$  with strong properties.
- Given  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$ , consider  $(\sigma, \eta) = f(\alpha, \beta)$ ;

#### Main technical result

For every singular cardinal  $\lambda$ , there exists a function  $rts: [\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow [\lambda^+]^2$  such that for every cofinal subsets X, Y of  $\lambda^+$ , there exists a cofinal subset  $Z \subseteq \lambda^+$  such that  $rts[X \circledast Y] \supseteq Z \circledast Z$ . Remark: our proof builds heavily on previous arguments of Shelah, Todorčević, and most notably — Eisworth.

- Fix a matrix of local clubs (C<sup>i</sup><sub>α</sub> | α < λ<sup>+</sup>, i < cf(λ)) that incorporates a club-guessing sequence/matrix.</p>
- Adapt Shelah's proof of  $\lambda^+ \not\rightarrow [\lambda^+; \lambda^+]^2_{cf(\lambda)}$ , to get a function  $f : [\lambda^+]^2 \rightarrow {}^{<\omega} cf(\lambda) \times {}^{<\omega} cf(\lambda)$  with strong properties.
- Given  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$ , consider  $(\sigma, \eta) = f(\alpha, \beta)$ ;
- Let  $\beta_0 := \beta$ , and  $\beta_{n+1} := \min(C_{\beta_n}^{\sigma(n)} \setminus \alpha)$  for all  $n \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$ ;

- Fix a matrix of local clubs (C<sup>i</sup><sub>α</sub> | α < λ<sup>+</sup>, i < cf(λ)) that incorporates a club-guessing sequence/matrix;</p>
- Fix a function f : [λ<sup>+</sup>]<sup>2</sup> → <sup><ω</sup> cf(λ) × <sup><ω</sup> cf(λ) with strong coloring properties;
- Given  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$ , consider  $(\sigma, \eta) = f(\alpha, \beta)$ ;
- Let  $\beta_0 := \beta$ , and  $\beta_{n+1} := \min(C_{\beta_n}^{\sigma(n)} \setminus \alpha)$  for all  $n \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$ ;

- Fix a matrix of local clubs (C<sup>i</sup><sub>α</sub> | α < λ<sup>+</sup>, i < cf(λ)) that incorporates a club-guessing sequence/matrix;</p>
- Fix a function f : [λ<sup>+</sup>]<sup>2</sup> → <sup><ω</sup> cf(λ) × <sup><ω</sup> cf(λ) with strong coloring properties;
- Given  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$ , consider  $(\sigma, \eta) = f(\alpha, \beta)$ ;
- Let  $\beta_0 := \beta$ , and  $\beta_{n+1} := \min(C_{\beta_n}^{\sigma(n)} \setminus \alpha)$  for all  $n \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$ ;
- Let  $\gamma := \max\{\sup(C_{\beta_n}^{\sigma(n)} \cap \alpha) \mid n \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\};$

#### The definition of rts

- Fix a matrix of local clubs (C<sup>i</sup><sub>α</sub> | α < λ<sup>+</sup>, i < cf(λ)) that incorporates a club-guessing sequence/matrix;</p>
- Fix a function f : [λ<sup>+</sup>]<sup>2</sup> → <sup><ω</sup> cf(λ) × <sup><ω</sup> cf(λ) with strong coloring properties;
- Given  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$ , consider  $(\sigma, \eta) = f(\alpha, \beta)$ ;
- Let  $\beta_0 := \beta$ , and  $\beta_{n+1} := \min(C_{\beta_n}^{\sigma(n)} \setminus \alpha)$  for all  $n \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$ ;

• Let 
$$\gamma := \max\{\sup(C^{\sigma(n)}_{\beta_n} \cap \alpha) \mid n \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\};$$

• Let  $\alpha_0 := \alpha$ , and  $\alpha_{m+1} := \min(C_{\alpha_m}^{\eta(m)} \setminus \gamma + 1)$  for  $m \in \operatorname{dom}(\eta)$ 

- Fix a matrix of local clubs (C<sup>i</sup><sub>α</sub> | α < λ<sup>+</sup>, i < cf(λ)) that incorporates a club-guessing sequence/matrix;</p>
- Fix a function f : [λ<sup>+</sup>]<sup>2</sup> → <sup><ω</sup> cf(λ) × <sup><ω</sup> cf(λ) with strong coloring properties;
- Given  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$ , consider  $(\sigma, \eta) = f(\alpha, \beta)$ ;
- Let  $\beta_0 := \beta$ , and  $\beta_{n+1} := \min(C_{\beta_n}^{\sigma(n)} \setminus \alpha)$  for all  $n \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$ ;

• Let 
$$\gamma := \max\{\sup(C_{\beta_n}^{\sigma(n)} \cap \alpha) \mid n \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\};$$

- Let  $\alpha_0 := \alpha$ , and  $\alpha_{m+1} := \min(C_{\alpha_m}^{\eta(m)} \setminus \gamma + 1)$  for  $m \in \operatorname{dom}(\eta)$
- Put  $rts(\alpha, \beta) := (\alpha_{dom(\eta)}, \beta_{dom(\sigma)}).$

#### The definition of rts

- Fix a matrix of local clubs (C<sup>i</sup><sub>α</sub> | α < λ<sup>+</sup>, i < cf(λ)) that incorporates a club-guessing sequence/matrix;
- Fix a function f : [λ<sup>+</sup>]<sup>2</sup> → <sup><ω</sup> cf(λ) × <sup><ω</sup> cf(λ) with strong coloring properties;
- Given  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$ , consider  $(\sigma, \eta) = f(\alpha, \beta)$ ;
- Let  $\beta_0 := \beta$ , and  $\beta_{n+1} := \min(C_{\beta_n}^{\sigma(n)} \setminus \alpha)$  for all  $n \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$ ;

• Let 
$$\gamma := \max\{\sup(C^{\sigma(n)}_{\beta_n} \cap \alpha) \mid n \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\};$$

• Let  $\alpha_0 := \alpha$ , and  $\alpha_{m+1} := \min(C_{\alpha_m}^{\eta(m)} \setminus \gamma + 1)$  for  $m \in \operatorname{dom}(\eta)$ 

• Put 
$$rts(\alpha, \beta) := (\alpha_{dom(\eta)}, \beta_{dom(\sigma)}).$$

The definition of *rts* is quite natural in this context, and so the main point is to verify that the definition does the job.

For every cofinal subset X ⊆ λ<sup>+</sup>, every ordinal δ < λ<sup>+</sup>, and every type p in the language of the matrix-based walks, let X<sub>p</sub>(δ) := {α ∈ X | the pair (δ, α) realizes the type p};

- For every cofinal subset X ⊆ λ<sup>+</sup>, every ordinal δ < λ<sup>+</sup>, and every type p in the language of the matrix-based walks, let X<sub>p</sub>(δ) := {α ∈ X | the pair (δ, α) realizes the type p};
- Denote  $S_p^X := \{\delta < \lambda^+ \mid \sup(X_p(\delta)) = \sup(X)\};$

- For every cofinal subset X ⊆ λ<sup>+</sup>, every ordinal δ < λ<sup>+</sup>, and every type p in the language of the matrix-based walks, let X<sub>p</sub>(δ) := {α ∈ X | the pair (δ, α) realizes the type p};
- Denote  $S_p^X := \{ \delta < \lambda^+ \mid \sup(X_p(\delta)) = \sup(X) \};$
- Use the fact that the chosen matrix incorporates club guessing to argue that for every cofinal subsets of λ<sup>+</sup>, X and Y, there exists a type p, for which S<sup>X</sup><sub>p</sub> ∩ S<sup>Y</sup><sub>p</sub> is stationary;

- For every cofinal subset X ⊆ λ<sup>+</sup>, every ordinal δ < λ<sup>+</sup>, and every type p in the language of the matrix-based walks, let X<sub>p</sub>(δ) := {α ∈ X | the pair (δ, α) realizes the type p};
- Denote  $S_p^X := \{ \delta < \lambda^+ \mid \sup(X_p(\delta)) = \sup(X) \};$
- Use the fact that the chosen matrix incorporates club guessing to argue that for every cofinal subsets of λ<sup>+</sup>, X and Y, there exists a type p, for which S<sup>X</sup><sub>p</sub> ∩ S<sup>Y</sup><sub>p</sub> is stationary;
- Use the fact that f oscillates quite nicely on rectangles X 
   Y, so that it can produce sequences (σ, η) with successful guidelines on which columns of the matrix to advise throughout the walks, and at which step of the walks to stop. This insures that the type p gets realized quite frequently;

- For every cofinal subset X ⊆ λ<sup>+</sup>, every ordinal δ < λ<sup>+</sup>, and every type p in the language of the matrix-based walks, let X<sub>p</sub>(δ) := {α ∈ X | the pair (δ, α) realizes the type p};
- Denote  $S_p^X := \{ \delta < \lambda^+ \mid \sup(X_p(\delta)) = \sup(X) \};$
- Use the fact that the chosen matrix incorporates club guessing to argue that for every cofinal subsets of λ<sup>+</sup>, X and Y, there exists a type p, for which S<sup>X</sup><sub>p</sub> ∩ S<sup>Y</sup><sub>p</sub> is stationary;
- ► Use the fact that f oscillates quite nicely on rectangles X ⊛ Y, so that it can produce sequences (σ, η) with successful guidelines on which columns of the matrix to advise throughout the walks, and at which step of the walks to stop. This insures that the type p gets realized quite frequently;
- Conclude that rts[X ⊛ Y] ⊇ [S<sup>X</sup><sub>p</sub> ∩ S<sup>Y</sup><sub>p</sub> ∩ C]<sup>2</sup> for the club C of ordinals of the form M ∩ λ<sup>+</sup>, for elementary submodels M ≺ H<sub>χ</sub> of size λ, that contains all relevant objects.

# Thank you!



The slides of this talk may be found at the following address: http://papers.assafrinot.com/?talk=cms2011

## Appendix

## Definition (Shelah)

 $\Pr_0(\lambda, \lambda, \omega)$  asserts the existence of a function  $f : [\lambda]^2 \to \lambda$  satisfying the following.

For every  $n < \omega$ , every  $g : n \times n \rightarrow \lambda$ , and every collection  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\lambda]^n$  of mutually disjoint sets, of size  $\lambda$ ,

there exists some  $x, y \in A$  with max(x) < min(y) such that

f(x(i), y(j)) = g(i, j) for all i, j < n.